

ULS Analysis with seismic dispositions (DCM) EC8 activated

2.5.2 ULS Analysis

Design combination: (\*)1.00DL1+1.00FLOOR FINISHES+1.00LL4+0.80LL7+0.80LL5+0.80LL5+0.80LL6+1.00SPE\_NEW14 (A)( SEIS. Joint X + )

Internal forces:

Nsd = 375.22 (kN)    Msdy = 0.00 (kN\*m)    Msdz = -1351.76 (kN\*m)

Design forces:

Upper node  
N = 375.22 (kN)    N\*etotz = 7.50 (kN\*m)    N\*etoty = -1355.73 (kN\*m)

Eccentricity:	ez (My/N)	ey (Mz/N)
Static	eEd: 0 (mm)	-3603 (mm)
Imperfection	ei: 0 (mm)	11 (mm)
Initial	e0: 0 (mm)	-3592 (mm)
Minimal	emin: 20 (mm)	20 (mm)
Total	etot: 20 (mm)	-3613 (mm)

ULS Analysis with no seismic dispositions

2.5.2 ULS Analysis

Design combination: 1.00DL1+1.00FLOOR FINISHES+1.00LL4+0.80LL5+0.80LL5+0.80LL5+0.30LL1+0.30LR1+0.80LL5+1.00SPE\_NEW12 (C)

Internal forces:

Nsd = 451.95 (kN)    Msdy = -1.35 (kN\*m)    Msdz = 1.54 (kN\*m)

Design forces:

Cross-section in the middle of the column

N = 451.95 (kN)    N\*etotz = -9.04 (kN\*m)    N\*etoty = 9.22 (kN\*m)

Eccentricity:	ez (My/N)	ey (Mz/N)
Static	eEd: -3 (mm)	3 (mm)
Imperfection	ei: 0 (mm)	11 (mm)
Initial	e0: -3 (mm)	14 (mm)
Minimal	emin: 20 (mm)	20 (mm)
Total	etot: -20 (mm)	20 (mm)

Column load table

2.4 Loads:

Case	Nature	Group	$\gamma_f$	N	My(s)	My(i)	Mz(s)	Mz(i)			
				(kN)	(kN*m)	(kN*m)	(kN*m)	(kN*m)			
DL1	dead load(Structural)	12	1.350	269.92	-4.39	5.77	0.36	-0.54			
LL1	live load	12	1.500	221.14	-8.63	8.79	0.13	-0.37			
FLOOR FINISHES											
	dead load(Non-structural)	12	1.350	118.00	-8.79	9.40	0.13	-0.25			
LR1	live load(Category H)	12	1.500	2.39	-1.17	1.38	0.01	-0.01			
LL4	dead load(Non-structural)	12	1.350	-1.65	-3.62	2.87	-0.06	0.05			
LL5	live load(Category E)	12	1.500	5.16	1.15	-0.92	-0.09	0.09			
SPE_NEW11	seismic	12	1.000	0.56	8.25	7.96	4.13	-4.14			
SPE_NEW12	seismic	12	1.000	-3.88	-23.25	-22.99	3.36	-3.37			
SPE_NEW13	seismic	12	1.000	6.90	50.24	49.33	2.40	-2.41			
SPE_NEW14	seismic	12	1.000	-7.90	-54.74	-53.84	-0.15	0.15			
LL6	live load(Category E)	12	1.500	-1.01	9.33	-9.15	0.02	-0.02			
LL7	live load(Category E)	12	1.500	-0.66	5.08	-5.00	-0.11	0.11			
LL5	live load(Category E)	12	1.500	-1.84	-1.81	2.28	0.20	-0.18			
LL5	live load(Category E)	12	1.500	0.24	-0.66	0.59	-0.02	0.02			
LL5	live load(Category E)	12	1.500	-0.43	-0.59	0.53	-0.04	0.04			

$\gamma_f$  - load factor

View	Plan	Dynamic Analysis Results	Forces					
			FX (kN)	FY (kN)	FZ (kN)	MX (kNm)	MY (kNm)	MZ (kNm)
<b>MAX</b>			864.86	1.67	24.11	0.49	76.93	5.15
<b>Bar</b>			12	12	12	12	12	12
<b>Node</b>			23	23	23	23	23	24
<b>Case</b>			ULS/210	ACC/2582	19 (C) (CQC)	ACC/1876	ACC/3089	ACC/1417
<b>Mode</b>								
<b>MIN</b>			-7.90	-2.20	-34.32	-0.46	-77.65	-4.77
<b>Bar</b>			12	12	12	12	12	12
<b>Node</b>			23	23	23	23	24	23
<b>Case</b>			19 (C) (CQC)	ACC/1417	ACC/3089	ACC/2225	ACC/3089	ACC/1417
<b>Mode</b>								

Internal Forces  
Table filtered for  
column in question

View	Plan	Dynamic Analysis Results	Forces	Displacements						
		UX (mm)	UY (mm)	UZ (mm)	RX (Rad)	RY (Rad)	RZ (Rad)			
<b>MAX</b>		15.445	12.354	0.139	0.001	0.000	0.000			
<b>Node</b>		24	24	24	24	23	24			
<b>Case</b>		ACC/2002	ACC/3465	19 (C) (CQC)	ACC/268	16 (C) (CQC)	ACC/2481			
<b>Mode</b>										
<b>MIN</b>		-16.042	-12.977	-8.363	-0.001	-0.001	-0.000			
<b>Node</b>		24	24	24	24	24	24			
<b>Case</b>		ACC/2094	ACC/540	ULS/178	15	ULS/132	ACC/1620			
<b>Mode</b>					CQC					

  

Nodal displacement table filtered for column in question

  

◀	▶	Values	Envelope	Global extremes	Info	▶
---	---	--------	----------	-----------------	------	---

View	Plan	Dynamic Analysis Results	Forces	Displacements	Stories							
Case/Story	Name	Mass (kg)	G (x,y,z) (m)	R (x,y,z) (m)	Ix (kgm <sup>2</sup> )	Iy (kgm <sup>2</sup> )	Iz (kgm <sup>2</sup> )	ex0 (m)	ey0 (m)	ex2 (m)	ey2 (m)	
1/ 1	Level +5.460	2183627.53	0 15.458 3.514	7 14.326 3.514	213401083.11	197205156.47	404041211.08	1.147	1.132	0.0	0.0	
1/ 2	Level +9.964	777672.31	8 15.094 9.168	518 1.072 9.168	83155452.68	69041241.52	150070658.75	3.230	14.022	0.0	0.0	
1/ 3	Story +13.714	356695.80	14.548 13.589	15.905 13.589	16082903.30	35577550.43	51477543.62	1.996	1.357	0.0	0.0	

The only similarity is the value of Eccentricity ex0 - The distance between the center of rigidity and the center of gravity projected onto the X axis, but I don't see the direct link between this value and the eccentricity used for the column